## **AQRP WORKSHOP**

Update and evaluation of model algorithms needed to predict Particulate Matter from Isoprene

> William Vizuete Jason Surratt



THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL



### **Isoprene-Derived Epoxides** Promote SOA Formation





### **Isoprene-Derived Epoxides** Are Critical in SOA Formation from Isoprene Oxidation



THE UNIVERSITY

CHAPEL HILI

#### What is the Reactive Flux to Particles? TH CAROLINA



## **Model Implementation**



## **Model Implementation**

(a) Isoprene [ppb]

(b) IEPOX [ppt]

(c) MAE [ppt]



### Epoxide Pathways Improve Model Predictions of Isoprene Markers and Reveal Key Role of Acidity in Aerosol Formation

Havala O. T. Pye,<sup>\*,†</sup> Robert W. Pinder,<sup>†</sup> Ivan R. Piletic,<sup>†</sup> Ying Xie,<sup>†,‡</sup> Shannon L. Capps,<sup>†</sup> Ying-Hsuan Lin,<sup>¶</sup> Jason D. Surratt,<sup>¶</sup> Zhenfa Zhang,<sup>¶</sup> Avram Gold,<sup>¶</sup> Deborah J. Luecken,<sup>†</sup> William T. Hutzell,<sup>†</sup> Mohammed Jaoui,<sup>§</sup> John H. Offenberg,<sup>†</sup> Tadeusz E. Kleindienst,<sup>†</sup> Michael Lewandowski,<sup>†</sup> and Edward O. Edney<sup>†</sup>

## **Model Implementation**



#### Modeling regional secondary organic aerosol using the Master Chemical Mechanism

Jingyi Li<sup>a</sup>, Meredith Cleveland<sup>b</sup>, Luke D. Ziemba<sup>c</sup>, Robert J. Griffin<sup>d</sup>, Kelley C. Barsanti<sup>e</sup>, James F. Pankow<sup>e</sup>, Qi Ying<sup>a,\*</sup>



Task 1. Integration of Gas-Phase Epoxide Formation and Subsequent SOA Formation into UNC MORPHO Box Model

Task 2. Synthesis of Isoprene-derived Epoxides and Known SOA Tracers

Task 3. Indoor Chamber Experiments Generating SOA Formation Directly from Isoprene-Derived Epoxides

Task 4. Modeling of Isoprene-derived SOA Formation From Environmental Simulation Chambers

## Task 1 – Gas Phase Evaluation

Atmospheric Environment 105 (2015) 109-120



## Assessment of SAPRC07 with updated isoprene chemistry against outdoor chamber experiments



Yuzhi Chen, Kenneth G. Sexton, Roger E. Jerry, Jason D. Surratt, William Vizuete\*

Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 166 Rosenau Hall CB#7431, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA



[Xie et al., 2013, ACP]

## Task 1 – Gas Phase Evaluation



UNC dual gas-phase chamber, Pittsboro, NC, 1994

### **Experimental:**

- 16 characterization runs
- 24 isoprene runs
- Compounds measured: O<sub>3</sub>, NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, Isoprene,CO, HCHO, PAN...

### Modeling:

MORPHO (UNC)





### <u>High NOx</u>







#### High NO<sub>X</sub>

#### Lower NO<sub>X</sub>









## Sources of NO<sub>2</sub>

**High NOx** 

**Lower NOx** 



- High NO<sub>X</sub> 65% NO<sub>2</sub> made through NO + O3 for SAPRC07; 47% made through recycling from NO<sub>Z</sub> for Xie
- Lower NO<sub>X</sub> 77% more NO2 recycled from NO<sub>z</sub> for Xie

Task 2. Synthesis of Isoprene-derived Epoxides and KnownSOA Tracers



Task 3. Indoor Chamber Experiments Generating SOA Formation Directly from Isoprene-Derived Epoxides

Evet #		[Epoxide]		Initial Seed	RH	
схрі. #	Epoxide	(ppb)	Seed Aerosol Type	Aerosol (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(%)	T (°C)
1	IEPOX	300	(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	~20-30	~50-60	~20-25
2		300	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	~20-30	~50-60	~20-25
3	MAE	300	(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	~20-30	~50-60	~20-25
4		300	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	~20-30	~50-60	~20-25
5	none		(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	~20-30	~50-60	~20-25
6	none		$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	~20-30	~50-60	~20-25
7	IEPOX	300	none	none	~50-60	~20-25
8	MAE	300	none	none	~50-60	~20-25

۰.

0.6 M (NH4)2SO4 + 0.6 M H2SO4

Task 4. Modeling of Isoprene-derived SOA Formation From Environmental Simulation Chambers



DOI: 10.1021/ez500406f Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 2015, 2, 38–42



pubs.acs.org/journal/estlcu

Japtations for non-commercial purposes.

### Heterogeneous Reactions of Isoprene-Derived Epoxides: Reaction Probabilities and Molar Secondary Organic Aerosol Yield Estimates

Theran P. Riedel,<sup>†</sup> Ying-Hsuan Lin,<sup>†</sup> Sri Hapsari Budisulistiorini,<sup>†</sup> Cassandra J. Gaston,<sup>‡</sup> Joel A. Thornton,<sup>‡</sup> Zhenfa Zhang,<sup>†</sup> William Vizuete,<sup>†</sup> Avram Gold,<sup>†</sup> and Jason D. Surratt<sup>\*,†</sup>

### Constraining Condensed-Phase Formation Kinetics of Secondary Organic Aerosol Components from Isoprene Epoxydiols

Theran P. Riedel, Zhenfa Zhang, Kevin Chu, Joel A. Thornton, William Vizuete, Avram Gold, and Jason D. Surratt *Manuscript in preparation* 



### **Measuring Reactive Uptake**





### **Measuring Reactive Uptake**

From linear fit:

$$k_{total} = -m$$
  
 $k_{wall} = -m$ 

$$k_{total} \approx k_{het} + k_{wall}$$



[Riedel et al., 2015, ES&T Letters]





NORTH CAROLIN CHAPEL HILL

epoxide	aerosol	RH	aerosol $[H^+] (M)^a$	γ ± 1 σ
IEPOX	(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.50	7.74×10 <sup>-5</sup>	$6.5 \times 10^{-4} \pm 6.4 \times 10^{-4}$
IEPOX	$MgSO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.08	0.04	$1.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3 \times 10^{-3}$
IEPOX	$MgSO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.53	0.73	$9.4 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3 \times 10^{-3}$
IEPOX	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.05	2.78	$2.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1 \times 10^{-3}$
IEPOX	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.59	2.01	$1.9 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2 \times 10^{-3}$
MAE	$MgSO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.03	0.73	$4.9 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1 \times 10^{-4}$
MAE	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.03	2.78	$5.2 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$

 $\gamma$  values are consistent with those measured in a previous study [Gaston et al., 2014, *ES&T*] and with aqueous phase reaction mechanisms [Eddingsaas et al., 2010, *JPCA*) – dependence on [H<sup>+</sup>], [HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>], [nucleophile], but [H<sup>+</sup>] found to have strongest effect



### Chamber model setup:

- 0-D time-dependent chemical box model
- Initialize model with:
  - y from flow reactor measurements
  - epoxide mass injected from chamber measurements
  - DMA reported aerosol [surface area] and [mass] from chamber measurements
- Only epoxide losses are to particles and chamber walls
- Only particle losses are to chamber walls



### Chamber model setup:

- 0-D time-dependent chemical box model
- Initialize model with:
  - *y* from flow reactor measurements
  - epoxide mass injected from chamber measurements
  - DMA reported aerosol [surface area] and [mass] from chamber measurements
- Only epoxide losses are to particles (makes SOA) and chamber walls
- Only particle losses are to chamber walls
- SOA production in the model:

$$P_{SOA} = \gamma \frac{S_a \omega}{4} \phi_{SOA} [epoxide]_{(g)}$$

[Riedel et al., 2015, ES&T Letters]

$IEPOX_{(aq)} + H^+ + H_2O \rightarrow 2$ -methytetrols + H <sup>+</sup>	(R1)
$IEPOX_{(aq)} + HSO_4^- + H_2O \rightarrow 2$ -methytetrols + $H^+ + SO_4^{2-}$	(R2)
$IEPOX_{(aq)} + H^+ + SO_4^{2-} \rightarrow IEPOX$ -organosulfate + H <sup>+</sup>	(R3)
$IEPOX_{(aq)} + HSO_4^- + SO_4^{2-} \rightarrow IEPOX$ -organosulfate + $H^+$	(R4)
$IEPOX_{(aq)} + H^+ \rightarrow C_5$ -alkene triols + $H^+$	(R5)
$IEPOX_{(aq)} + H^+ \rightarrow 3$ -MeTHF-3,4-diols + $H^+$	(R6)
$IEPOX_{(aq)} + H^+ + 2$ -methyltetrols $\rightarrow$ IEPOX-dimer + $H^+$	(R7)
$IEPOX_{(aq)} + H^+ + IEPOX$ -OS $\rightarrow$ IEPOX-dimerOS + $H^+$	(R8)
$IEPOX_{(aq)} + H^+ \rightarrow \text{other SOA} + H^+$	(R9)
$IEPOX_{(aq)} \rightarrow volatile products$	(R10)

SOA tracer formed	k	reaction
2-methyltetrols	9.0 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> M <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>a</sup>	(R1)
2-methyltetrols	1.3 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> M <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>a</sup>	(R2)
IEPOX-OS	$2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-3}$	(R3)
IEPOX-OS	2.9 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> M <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>a</sup>	(R4)
C₅-alkene triols	$7.8\pm0.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}$	(R5)
3-MeTHF-3,4-diols	$9.2\pm1.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}$	(R6)
IEPOX-dimer	$7.7\pm2.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ M}^{-2} \text{ s}$	(R7)
IEPOX-dimerOS	$8.1\pm3.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}^{-2} \text{ s}$	(R8)
other SOA	$5.4\pm0.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}$	(R9)

<sup>a</sup>from Eddingsaas et al., 2010; see also Pye et al., 2013







### Questions? www.unc.edu/~vizuete





### Lower NOX case: JN2697RED

Case	Description	Kisom, ISOPO2	<b>ISOPN yields</b>	
Run	BASE	K*	0.6	
Run A	lower Kisom, ISOPO2	0.5K	0.6	
Run B	lower ISOPN yield	К	0	
		* K = (4	07e+8*EXP(-7694/TK) cm3/s	

- ISOPO2 isomerization rate has no impacts on O<sub>3</sub>
- ISOPN yields shut-down reduces O<sub>3</sub> maximum by 5%

### **NO<sub>2</sub> Recycling Rate**





- PANs accounts for 92% of the total NO2 recycling from NOz
- Xie mechanism predicts 64% more PNA than SAPRC07



### **Radical Cycle for Lower NO<sub>X</sub> Case**





Lower NOx





# Do Organic Coatings Impact Uptake of IEPOX?



<sup>[</sup>Gaston et al., 2014, *ES&T*]





epoxide	aerosol	RH	aerosol $[H^+] (M)^a$	γ±1σ	modeled $oldsymbol{\phi}_{\mathit{SOA}}$ range	
IEPOX	(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.50	7.74×10 <sup>-5</sup>	$6.5 \times 10^{-4} \pm 6.4 \times 10^{-4}$	0.17 - 0.21	
IEPOX	$MgSO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.08	0.04	$1.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3 \times 10^{-3}$	0.04 - 0.06	
IEPOX	$MgSO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.53	0.73	$9.4 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3 \times 10^{-3}$	0.03 - 0.05	
IEPOX	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.05	2.78	$2.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1 \times 10^{-3}$	0.10 - 0.12	
IEPOX	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.59	2.01	$1.9 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2 \times 10^{-3}$	0.06 - 0.08	
MAE	$MgSO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.03	0.73	$4.9 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1 \times 10^{-4}$	0.07 - 0.14	
MAE	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.03	2.78	$5.2 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	0.16 - 0.25	

- $\phi_{SOA}$  ranges 0.03 0.21 for IEPOX
- $\phi_{soA}$  ranges 0.07 0.25 for MAE
- observed higher  $\phi_{SOA}$  for  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  seed types
- similar  $\phi_{soA}$  for highly acidic and near neutral seed
  - SOA growth takes longer for near neutral seed



 $SOA = tracer_1 + tracer_2 + tracer_i + \cdots tracer_n$ 

 $SOA = organosulfate + 2 - methyltetrol + C_5 - alkene triols + etc.$ 



Can't quantify all tracers... so we need a different approach.

[Riedel et al., 2015, ES&T Letters]



### In Conjunction with Existing Chamber Data, $\gamma$ Results Used in Model to Estimate $\phi_{SOA}$

epoxide	aerosol	RH	aerosol [ $H^+$ ] (M) <sup>a</sup>	γ ± 1 σ
IEPOX	(NH <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.50	7.74×10 <sup>-5</sup>	$6.5 \times 10^{-4} \pm 6.4 \times 10^{-4}$
IEPOX	$MgSO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.08	0.04	$1.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 3 \times 10^{-3}$
IEPOX	$MgSO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.53	0.73	$9.4 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3 \times 10^{-3}$
IEPOX	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.05	2.78	$2.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1 \times 10^{-3}$
IEPOX	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.59	2.01	$1.9 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2 \times 10^{-3}$
MAE	$MgSO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.03	0.73	$4.9 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1 \times 10^{-4}$
MAE	$(NH_4)_2SO_4 + H_2SO_4$	0.03	2.78	$5.2 \times 10^{-4} \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-4}$

Chosen to match aerosol composition and RH from chambers studies showing observable SOA production.

[Riedel et al., 2015, ES&T Letters]



Total predicted SOA mass = 0.45  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>





### **Measuring Reactive Uptake**

